Intense magnetic spikes in geomagnetic storms: Occurrence characteristics, physical mechanisms and consequences for space weather impacts in terms of GIC's and GNSS disturbances.

<u>Hermann J. Opgenoorth</u> and Audrey Schillings - Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden Mark Lester, and Suzie Imber - University of Leicester, Leicester, UK Anna Naemi-Willer, and Nils Olsen - Danish Technical University, Copenhagen, Denmark Haris Haralambous - Fredericks University, Nicosia, Cyprus

A talk in two parts

<u> First part – what we have learned:</u>

The characteristics and causes for large dB/dt – spikes in storms

"The Perfect Storm": St. Patrick's Day 17. March 2015: Combined CIR & CME effects



UT (hours)

What about those storm-spikes in a statistical sense?

At first glance they can occur at random at any night-side local time from dusk to dawn (A. Schillings, H. Opgenoorth et al. – Journal Space Weather paper under final review)



Figure 1 : Examples of selected spikes (dBx/dt) appear in green on the plot.

Statistical study using SuperMAG data since 1980 for more than 300 storms (27 major storms) Automated spike detection dB/dt > 500nT/min, visual selection of good data

X - Component (N)



TWO HOTSPOTS: – Bx: in pre-midnight sector (few +Bx) and +/- Bx in morning sector)

Y - Component (E)



ONE HOTSPOT in Morning sector for + / – By (only a few pos By at premidnight –> WTS?)

MLT and MLAT development of series of spikes in storms: from premidnight to dawn

20-11-2003 dB_N/dt neg



MLT and MLAT development of series of spikes in storms: from premidnight to dawn

12 _E NH: $-1000 < -dB_N/dt < -500$ • $NH: -1000 > -dB_N/dt$ 6 SH: $-1000 < -dB_N/dt < -500$ MLT 0 2003/10/29 -6 10 -12 90 -90 MLT 0 Another example: 80 -80 -5 Halloween storm MLAT 70 -70 -10 60 06:10 06:20 06:30 -60 50 -50 40 AE -40 4000 AL AU 2000 AU/AL [nT] 0 -2000 -4000 20/12:00 21/00:00 21/12:00 22/00:00 22/12:00 23/00:00 day/hour

20-11-2003 dB_N/dt neg

"The Perfect Storm": St. Patrick's Day 17. March 2015: Combined CIR & CME effects



UT (hours)



X component 2015-03-17

MIRACLE NETWORK: FMI, IRF, Univ. of Tromsö

X component 2015-03-17



The three successive examples of "spikes" on 17. March, 2015



3 events in < 18 mins for entire sequence – 6 mins on average - much shorter than substorms !

HISTORY: the understanding of aurora and substorm as a global phenomena emerged from the IGY

First: Akasofu, 1964 from All-Sky Cameras







E. T=30 MIN-1HR









Magnetic signatures of localized field-aligned currents seen from ground Localised Substorm Onset

see Opgenoorth et al. 1980 and 1983, Baumjohann et al. 1983



Fig. 24. Schematic view of a current system (right) which might explain the pattern of the equivalent current vectors shown in Fig. 26b. It is composed of elemantary systems (Fukushima, 1971) of the sort shown left. J_P and J_H denote Pedersen and Hall currents, respectively.



Pattern of (**Differential**) Equivalent Ionospheric Current Localised Substorm Onset

from Palin et al. 2016; see also Opgenoorth et al. 1980,1983, Baumjohann et al. 1983



The three successive examples of "spikes" on 17. March, 2015



3 events in < 18 mins for entire sequence – 6 mins on average - much shorter than substorms !

Differential equivalent current vectors for built-up and dis-appearance of first spike



 J_{eq} (A/km)

 J_{eq} (A/km)

Differential equivalent current vectors for built-up and dis-appearance of second spike



10 30 100 300 1000 300

Differential equivalent current vectors for built-up and dis-appearance of third spike







Pattern of (<u>Differential</u>) Equivalent Ionospheric Current <u>Localised Substorm Onset</u>

from Palin et al. 2016; see also Opgenoorth et al. 1980,1983, Baumjohann et al. 1983



Such studies are at the heart of modern magnetospheric physics Better scientific understanding will improve potential to predict

Large scale re-routing of cross tail current Many small wedge-lets from BBFs in tail Liu et al. Sergeev et al. VS OR

Large Scale Current Circuits vs. Wedgelets

See also Palin et al. 2015, 2016 Angelopulous et al. 2020, etc.

Slide borrowed from talk by Jesper Gjerlöv, ISWAT workshop, 2021

Obvious shortcoming of this study – too few instruments in sub-auroral latitudes ...and too coarse temporal resolution(1 min) to catch the true dB/dt spikes

Insufficiency not only restricted to instrument overage, and station density, but even in temporal resolution ! **Second Part: Future opportunities**

Additional space weather effects indirectly related to dB/dt and GICs:

Strong flow channels = strong electric fields - adjacent to storm-time current systems

Coordinated observations between

MAGSWEDAN: ESA funded Magnetometer network 14 stations with 1 sec temporal resolution (DTU Denmark and SGU Sweden) and
CY-DARN: a new sub-auroral SuperDARN Radar on Cyprus - overlooking MAGSWEDAN (Leicester, UK, and Nicosia, Cyprus)

Växjö Falköping Nora

- Hassela
- Åland
- Gotland
- Sindal
- Bornholm
- Rømø
- Brorfelde
- Hov -Farør
- Greenland
 - East 1 & 2

SuperDARN Radars' Fields of View:

recent extension into sub-auroral latitudes (red) and the planned CYDARN (shaded pink)

The CY-DARN Project - supporting the MAGSWEDAN network Radar, site, license and funding exist MoU with CSEO close to completion Installation as soon as Covid permits

New Interest: SAPS – Sub-Auroral Polarisation Streams

strong convection channels causing ionospheric instabilities

Fig. 5: Left panel: Field of view from one SuperDARN radar. SAPS event observed around 60° latitude (annotation III), clear high plasma velocity (color scale) associated with the narrowed in latitude but extended in longitude structure. Clausen et al., 2012 [36]. Right Panel: Example of SAPS structure enclosed in the triangle below the nothern light (green auroral image). Satellites are passing close to the SAPS region (grey lines and stars). Oksavik et al. (2006) [37].

The physics behind fast flows adjacent to aurora

EISCAT Raw electron density 1995-03-01 from Aikio et al 2002 arc 11.8 180 11.6 -160 11.4 ARC Height [km] 11.2 ionisation Electron 11 120 10.8 depletion 10.6 -100 10.4 -10.2 19:20 19.28 19:32 Time [UT] 60 E_{llarc} (mV/m) 40 20 0 -20 60 E_{L arc} (mV/m) 40 20 Ο 40 electrons 30 J_{upTot} (μA/m²) 20 currents 10 0 -10 -20 -100 -80 -60 -40 -20 20 40 60 0 Distance from an arc (km) Ν

First paper to note large perpendicular electric fields, fast flows, high ion temperature and decreased electron density adjacent to auroral arcs was

Opgenoorth, H. J., I. Häggström, P. J. S. Williams, and G. O. L. Jones, Regions of strongly enhanced perpendicular electric fields adjacent to auroral arcs, J. Atmos. Terr. Phys., 52, 449–458, 1990.

Later followed up by Anita Aikio, Oulu, Finland in a series of papers in JGR and elsewhere...

Main characteristics - shown on left

Arcs are longitudinally extended narrow regions of high conductivity, caused by precipitating electrons. We plan to study future magnetic storm events expanding to sub-auroral Scandinavia with imultaneous MAGSWEDAN and CY-DARN data to find the physical causes for GNSS anomalies

Questions?